UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/569,226 | 11/13/2006 | Hiroki Tamaki | 062165 | 9432 |
| | 7590 09/14/201 , HATTORI, DANIEL | | EXAMINER | |
| 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 | | | IRVIN, THOMAS W | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3657 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/14/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/569,226 | TAMAKI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | THOMAS IRVIN | 3657 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | dress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | J. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the | merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | |
| · | , | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-54</u> is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>19-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CF | R 1.121(d). | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PT | O-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | . , | () () | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | |
| 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • • | <u></u> | Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau | • | | Jugo | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | • | d | | | |
| 212 2 | 2 22 | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | J | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/569,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: Figs. 2A

Species B: Figs. 2B

Sub Species A – attachment holes

A1: Fig. 11A

A2: Fig. 11B

Sub Species B – shock absorber blocks

B1: Fig. 12A

B2: Fig. 12B

Sub Species C – method for forming holes

C1: Fig. 14A, 14B, 15A, 15B

C2: Fig. 15C

C3: Fig. 15D

C4: Fig. 15E

Art Unit: 3657

C5: Fig. 15F

C6: Fig. 15G

C7: Fig. 15H

C8: Fig. 15I

C9: Fig. 15J

C10: Fig. 15K

C11: Fig. 15L

C12: Fig. 15M

C13: Fig. 15N

C14: Fig. 15O

C15: Fig. 15P

C16: Fig. 15Q

C17: Fig. 15R

C18: Fig. 15S

C19: Fig. 15T

C20: Fig. 15U

C21: Fig. 15V

Sub Species D – combining shock absorber blocks

D1: Fig. 16

D2: Fig. 17A, 17B, 17C

D3: Fig. 18A, 18B, 20A, 20B

Art Unit: 3657

D4: Fig. 18C, 20C

D5: Fig. 19A, 19B

D6: Fig. 21

D7: Fig. 22A, 22B

D8: Fig. 23A, 23B, 23C

D9: Fig. 24A, 24B, 24C, 24D

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species and a single sub species from each of the four sub species categories (A,B,C,D) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claim 19 appears to be generic.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only

when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of

the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS IRVIN whose telephone number is (571)270-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,226 Page 8

Art Unit: 3657

/Thomas Irvin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3657 /Bradley T King/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657